Introduction

For the member states of the Arab League, no international issue is more emotionally affecting than the plight of the Palestinian people. The treatment of the Palestinians, denied a sovereign state of their own, is symbolic of the pervasive humiliation many Arab people’s feel they have suffered at the hands of colonial powers in the 19th and 20th Centuries and from the dominant powers today.

Forced from the homes after Israeli independence in 1948, millions became refugees. Among the most affected are those remaining in Palestine, especially the 1.9 million in Israel and the 2.8 million in Palestine’s West Bank and 1.9 million living in Gaza.

Israel is charged by the Member States of the Arab League with acting like an ‘occupying power’ in Palestine. They refer to the Palestinian areas under Israeli control or dominance as the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPTs).

These Palestinians are especially vulnerable to actions by the State of Israel. For the Palestinian people, the descendants of refugees from the creation of the State of Israel in 1948, the creation of the International Criminal Court (ICC) in The Hague, Netherlands, raises new possibilities for legal support in their conflict with Israel.\(^1\) Israel is not a party to the ICC. It has not signed the Rome Statute, the legal treaty establishing the court. But since Palestine signed the Rome Statute, Israeli citizens can be tried by The Hague-based court for crimes committed on Palestinian territory, in the West Bank and Gaza.

Because its status under international law is young and controversial, the ICC works slowly. The ICC’s Office of the Prosecutor began its preliminary examination of the "situation in Palestine" in 2015.\(^2\) In this phase, the prosecutor
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is meant to determine whether the criteria have been met to warrant pursuing a formal investigation based on the information available publicly or submitted to the office and whether local courts are carrying out credible investigations.

Frustrated with the lack of effective action by the Arab League and its Member States, Palestinians have begun to put more emphasis on help from other sources, like the International Criminal Court. This leaves the Arab League in an awkward situation. How can it regain relevance on this, its symbolically most important issues? Should it encourage action through the ICC? Or should it try to resume a more direct Arab League role in the process?

Bringing in the ICC

In 2017 Palestinian human rights organizations submitted a 700-page ‘communication’ to the International Criminal Court, alleging that high-level Israeli officials have been complicit in committing war crimes and crimes against humanity in the Israeli-occupied West Bank and East Jerusalem, and should be prosecuted for crimes against humanity under the ICC statute. The communication is not a binding official document, since the groups are not signatories of the Rome Statute. Rather, it was a highly visible effort to organize global awareness and influence actual charges by the ICC.

On 22 May 2018, “pursuant to the Rome Statute, the State of Palestine referred the situation in Palestine for investigation to the ICC and specifically requested the Prosecutor ‘to investigate, in accordance with the temporal jurisdiction of the Court, past, ongoing and future crimes within the court’s jurisdiction, committed in all parts of the territory of the State of Palestine’.”

Two investigations are being pursued most aggressively. One concerns Israeli control of Palestinian land in the West Bank. "The transfer of Israeli settlers into the occupied Palestinian territory constitutes a unique war crime in that it is coupled with the confiscation of massive tracts of Palestinian land," Raji Sourani, director of the Palestinian Centre for Human Rights, said in the statement, referring to Israel's settlement project in East Jerusalem and the West Bank.

In recent news, however, ICC Prosecutor, Fatou Bensouda, released a formal statement of the formal findings of this investigation:

“I am satisfied that there is a reasonable basis to proceed with an investigation into the situation in Palestine, pursuant to article 53(1) of the Statute. In brief, I am satisfied that (i) war crimes have been or are being committed in the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and the Gaza Strip ("Gaza") (for specifics, see paras. 94-96); (ii) potential cases arising from the situation would be admissible; and (iii) there are no substantial reasons to believe that an investigation would not serve the interests of justice…”

This means that a full-fledged investigation will begin with court proceedings following.

This decision was met with much backlash, mainly from Israel, as it claimed that the ICC did not have jurisdiction nor true evidence to support their decision. Many of these Israeli statements were said by Prime Minister Netanyahu who also said that this announcement was outrageous because “The ICC only has jurisdiction over petitions submitted by

---


4 Ibid.
sovereign states. But there has never been a Palestinian state.”

His response is no surprise to the International community due to Israel and Palestine’s long and turbulent past.

**Palestinian and Israeli relations**

After it took control of the West Bank during the 1967 Six-Day War, Israel's government has allowed 600,000 to 750,000 Israeli citizens to move to homes in occupied Palestinian territories of the West Bank. Their towns are viewed by Palestinian leaders as ‘illegal, fortified settlements - the largest of which houses some 64,000 Israelis – built on Palestinian land seized by Israel.’

An occupying power is forbidden from transferring parts of its civilian population into the territory which it occupies, according to the fourth article of the Geneva Conventions, which defines humanitarian protections for civilians in a war zone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Gaza-Israel conflicts, 2008-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2008-Jan 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-Dec 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-Aug 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-Nov 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A second investigation focuses on Israeli attacks on civilians in Gaza since 2014. During armed violence in Gaza in 2014, for example, Israeli Army shelling alone is believed to have killed 135 Palestinian civilians, out of 2,250 Palestinians killed. More than 2,500 homes were damaged or destroyed. A previous United Nations commission of inquiry found that the Israeli army did not appear to have taken precautions to verify that its targets were lawful or to minimize civilian casualties.

From the perspective of Israel and its supporters—especially the United States under the leadership of President Donald Trump—these investigations overlook the basic nature of the Israel-Palestine conflict, and the violence they blame exclusively on Palestinian leaders.
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and individuals. They insist the Israeli settlement policy is legal and legitimate under Israeli law. Stressing past suicide bombings and current rocket and mortar attacks from Gaza, supporters of Israeli policy say any investigation must be balanced.

To Palestinian leaders, such demands are based on false equivalence, suggesting that the small-scale Palestinian attacks, which are usually not deadly, are equal to Israeli attacks that kill hundreds or thousands and claim large parts of Palestinian territory. Palestinian attacks, moreover, they say, are the work of individuals, unlike Israeli attacks, which are organized with the full resources of the State of Israel.

Background

The conflict between Israel and Palestine has a history that has remained a key topic in the international community. The official division of Israel and Palestine occurred in 1947, as one of the first actions of the newly established UN. The new borders left fifty percent of the area to each group, with the borders to take effect when the British military left completely. Days later violence began between Arab and Jewish residents as they struggled for control over the disputed territory.

As British troops began to leave, the violence escalated, and more lives were lost. On 14 May 1947, the Israeli leader Ben Gurion declared the establishment of the State of Israel and then became its first Prime Minister. This creation had not actually been processed or accepted by the international community so, the land that the Jews were claiming as Israel was in-fact, ungoverned territory. Surrounding states quickly made their way into the area. Jordan, Egypt, Syria and Iraq all claimed this was done to maintain peace in the region and to make sure that the violence in Palestine did not spread into their lands. These nations fought until an armistice was reached in February of 1949. Israel gained some territory formerly granted to Palestinian Arabs under the United Nations resolution in 1947, and Egypt and Jordan retained control over the Gaza Strip and the West Bank respectively. These lines held until 1967 when conflict was reignited by Israel’s invasion of the Straights of Sinai, which had been blocked by the Egyptians. The conflict resolved with the Camp David Accords in 1978 and Israel fully withdrew from the Sinai by 1982. But the Gaza strip was now in Israeli possession, and floods of Israeli people began settling there, as well as the Golan Heights and the West Bank. This migration would be the root of many issues to come.

Most international institutions are agreed that Israel’s settlement policy in the West Bank is illegal, under international law. The International Court of Justice, the UN General Assembly and the United Nations Security Council regard Israel as the ‘Occupying Power’. For this reason, UN documents usually refer to the region as ‘The Israeli Occupied Palestinian Territories’.

In 2005 Israel withdrew its settlements in Gaza, ending its occupation there and settling Israelis from the Gaza strip elsewhere. This was part of a ‘Unilateral disengagement plan’ to remove the military presence in the Gaza strip by Israel. The end of Israeli settlement in Gaza did not bring peace as expected by the plan’s supporters. Instead there have been a series of often-large scale attacks on Israel, including rocket, mortar and tunnel attacks, and even larger Israeli attacks on Gaza.
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Hamas, a major Palestinian political party, is the governmental party in Gaza. They defeated the Palestine Liberation Organization in elections there in 2006 and forced the PLO to evacuate after a brief armed struggle in 2007. Hamas demands a one-state solution, which would favor Palestinian interests. The ruling Palestinian Authority of the West Bank prefers a two-state solution, with parallel Israeli and Palestinian states.

Current Situation

In late March of 2018, Palestinians began a mass protest of the Israeli blockade on Gaza, east of Jabalya, in northern Gaza. This protest, known as the Great March of Return, was a call to commemorate the eviction of Palestinian families from their homes 70 years ago and to demand the Right of Return. Over the course of the following months, each protest was met with lethal force from the Israeli army who were guarding the border between the two countries. According to multiple reports, 154 Palestinians have died during the latest protests, and 16,000 have been wounded. There has been one Israeli fatality. Many of those hurt were journalists, aid workers and people fleeing from the areas already involved in violence. The number of children casualties has continued to rise as well. The casualties have made these protests the deadliest time since the 2014 Israel-Gaza war.

Within days of the protest’s beginning, the international community began to respond to the violence. There was an outcry to condemn the Israeli army’s use of live rounds, and other forms of deadly weaponry to keep protestors from it border. The fatalities also point to the issue of proportionality; the heavily skewed casualty figures, which invariably record far more Palestinian deaths and injuries, suggest

Israel tends to use disproportionate force. This is illegal under international law, especially the Geneva Conventions of 1949.

Israel usually justifies its actions as self-defense, which is permitted under Article 51 of the UN charter. On May 15, 2018, Israel’s ambassador to the UN released a press conference discussing Hamas’ involvement in the escalation of the riots, as well as how that played into the necessity for deadly response. On the same day, at an emergency meeting of the UN’s Security Council, US ambassador Nikki Hailey supported Israel’s claim. Hailey stated that the protestors were infiltrated by Iran backed Hamas ‘terrorists’ who have been firing rockets into Israeli lands for years.

These tensions rose again in May of 2019, with the death of two Israeli soldiers reigniting missile fire between the Gaza Strip and Israel. This occurred during another weekly protest at the border. Missiles were exchanged for four days until an Egyptian mediated cease-fire was found on the 6th of May.

The protests were but a part of the issues within Gaza. Since 2007, Gaza has been under Israeli occupation and blockade. This has limited the movement of goods and people, which has led to a humanitarian crisis for the people there. Hamas is also believed to be unhelpful to its people’s situation, since much of its efforts going towards the conflict with Israel. Israel believes this is another act of self-defense from longstanding Hamas aggression. But according to a UN report made in 2008, the violence against Palestinians has increased greatly; in the first half of 2008, for example, there were 222 attacks. Although these attacks were condemned by some in the Jewish community, many believed that the Israeli government was allowing and encouraging such violence. The
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Israeli official spokesmen usually characterize such attacks as responses to terrorism.

In 2017 in the West Bank, Israeli security forces fatally shot a total of at least 42 Palestinians and wounded at least 3,279, including passersby, demonstrators, and those suspected of attacking Israelis. As the Human Right Watch World Report for 2018 reports:

*In many cases, video footage and witness accounts strongly suggest that forces used excessive force. In this same period, attacks by settlers killed three Palestinians, injured 49, and damaged property in 106 incidents, according to OCHA.*

On building permits:

*Building permits are difficult, if not impossible, for Palestinians to obtain in East Jerusalem or in the 60 percent of the West Bank under exclusive Israeli control (Area C). This has driven Palestinians to construct housing and business structures that are at constant risk of demolition or confiscation by Israel on the grounds of being unauthorized. Palestinians in these areas have access to water, electricity, schools, and other state services that are either far more limited or costlier than the same services that the state makes available to Jewish settlers there.*

The situation helps explain Palestinian feelings about violated national sovereignty, lost territorial control, and lost human rights, that they are treated as second-class citizens in their own state borders.

The Role of the Arab League

Of great importance to the Arab League Member States are precedents from the UN. The United Nations has dealt with Israeli-Palestine affairs for many decades, most notable with resolutions:

- General Assembly Resolution 2546 of 1969 – condemns Israeli violations of human rights and fundamental freedoms
- General Assembly Resolution 2851 of 1971 – calls to investigate Israeli practices affecting human rights in occupied territories, namely Palestine
- General Assembly Resolution 36/226 of 1981 – reaffirms previous calls for a full Israeli withdrawal from occupied territories
- General Assembly Resolution 67/19 of 2012 – Made Palestine an observer state in the UN General Assembly, after efforts to grant Palestine full membership were vetoed by the United States in the UN Security Council.
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Some Proposals for Action

Support immediate prosecution: the easiest choice for the Arab League will be to support full-scale prosecution of Israel for war crimes, in the International Criminal Court. International support for such a move will be forthcoming from other countries of the Arab League and Non-Aligned Movement.

But even strongly supportive states may insist on proviso or qualifications to ensure that no precedent for prosecution is created that could be used against them in their own territory.

Support continued investigation: rather than commit to immediate action, the Arab League could agree that this is not the moment to rush. Instead it could recommend the ICC move gradually and carefully, possible even altering possible indictments, to reduce political controversy and tensions. Such a proposal would meet with significant opposition among some Member States, but would be welcomed by others.

Demand Israel reverse the policies: that led to these investigations, especially building new settlements, military raiding and shelling and bombing. More aggressively, Israel could be asked to remove its settlements. With hundreds of thousands of people and hundreds of towns involved, this will be expensive. Making it more acceptable to Israel could be expensive.

Offer military support: to Palestinian residents in the West Bank and Gaza to protect their safely. This might be supported by a few in the Arab League, but others would view it as a provocation to greater regional warfare.
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